

Why Choose Positive Reinforcement?

When it comes to training, every dog deserves a method that is effective, humane, and backed by science. Positive reinforcement training isn't just about being kind—it's about teaching in a way that builds confidence, strengthens the human-dog bond, and reduces behavior problems.

What Do We Mean by Aversive Training?

Aversive training relies on fear, intimidation, or pain to stop unwanted behaviors. This includes tools and techniques like shock collars, prong collars, choke collars/martingales, leash jerks, shouting, or physical corrections.

Why it may “look like it works”:

- These methods can stop a behavior quickly because the dog **learns to avoid discomfort or punishment**.
- On the surface, this can create the appearance of control or compliance

Why it fails in the long run:

- Behavior **isn't truly understood, only suppressed**. The dog has no clear idea of what they're supposed to be doing instead in order to meet their needs.
- Stress and fear from aversives **builds up over time**, and often resurface as anxiety, avoidance, or even aggression.
- The dog may “behave” in the moment, but the underlying issue is left unresolved and trust is damaged.

What Does the Research Say?

Aversive methods increase stress and aggression.

- Studies consistently show that punishment-based training is linked to increased fear, stress, and aggression.
- One study found that **at least 25% of dogs reacted aggressively** when subjected to harsh corrections like leash jerks or intimidation.¹

Dogs trained with positive reinforcement learn just as well—without the risks.

- Research confirms that force-free methods result in **obedience levels at least as high as punishment-based training**, with fewer behavioral issues.²
- Dogs trained positively show **lower stress levels, better problem-solving skills, and a stronger willingness to engage with their handlers**.³

Behavior problems are a leading cause of surrender and euthanasia.

- If punishment prevented these issues, we'd expect to see fewer dogs in shelters. Instead, studies show that owners who use **aversive training report more behavior problems.**⁴
- Shelters that focus on positive reinforcement see **higher adoption and retention rates**—dogs that learn in a safe, stress-free way are more likely to stay in their new homes.⁵

What About Aggression?

Aggressive behavior is complex and requires **scientific, ethical interventions**—not force or intimidation.

- Modern behavior modification techniques like **desensitization, counter-conditioning, and differential reinforcement** have been **proven to reduce aggression without increasing fear or stress.**⁶
- The goal is to change how the dog feels about triggers, not just suppress reactions.
- Professionals work to understand the **root causes** of aggression, much like a therapist would help a human client.

The idea that punishment is the only way to "save" aggressive dogs is **not supported by science.** In fact, studies show that **aversive training can increase aggression and make problems worse.**⁷

The Bottom Line

Positive reinforcement isn't about avoiding discipline—it's about **teaching dogs in a way that makes sense to them, encourages good choices, and leads to long-term success.**

-  Reduces stress and fear-based behaviors
-  Builds trust between you and your dog
-  Scientifically proven to be just more effective than punishment-based methods
-  Leads to better long-term behavior and retention in homes

**When we know better, we do better—for our dogs and for ourselves.
Want to learn more about effective, science-backed training? Let's talk!**



Call or Text: 516-619-6394
Email: updogpcs@gmail.com • updogwalkandtrain.com
Instagram: [@updogwalkandtrain](https://www.instagram.com/updogwalkandtrain)

References

1. [Duffy, D. L., Hsu, Y., & Serpell, J. A. \(2008\). *Breed differences in canine aggression*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 114\(3-4\), 441-460.](#)
2. [Gunter, L. M., Feuerbacher, E. N., Gilchrist, R. J., & Wynne, C. D. L. \(2018\). *Evaluating the effects of a temporary fostering program on shelter dog welfare*. *PeerJ*, 6, e6620.](#)
3. [Herron, M. E., Shofer, F. S., & Reisner, I. R. \(2009\). *Survey of the use and outcome of confrontational and non-confrontational training methods in client-owned dogs showing undesired behaviors*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 117\(1-2\), 47-54.](#)
4. [Hiby, E. F., Rooney, N. J., & Bradshaw, J. W. S. \(2004\). *Dog training methods: their use, effectiveness and interaction with behaviour and welfare*. *Animal Welfare*, 13\(1\), 63-69.](#)
5. [Marder, A., Shabelansky, A., Patronek, G., Dowling-Guyer, S., & D'Arpino, S. \(2013\). *Food-related aggression in shelter dogs: A comparison of behavior identified by a behavior evaluation in the shelter and owner reports after adoption*. *Applied Animal Beha*](#)
6. [Ziv, G. \(2017\). *The effects of using aversive training methods in dogs—A review*. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior*, 19, 50-60.](#)
7. [Casey, R. A., Loftus, B., Bolster, C., Richards, G. J., & Blackwell, E. J. \(2013\). *Inter-dog aggression in a UK owner survey: prevalence, co-occurrence in different contexts and risk factors*. *Veterinary Record*, 172\(5\), 127.](#)
8. [Rooney, N. J., & Cowan, S. \(2011\). *Training methods and owner-dog interactions: Links with dog behaviour and learning ability*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 132\(3-4\), 169-177.](#)